

**REMARKS**

Claims 22-50 are pending.

Claim 22 was rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, in view of the limitation "said source data," at line 3. Claim 22 has been amended, as set forth in the claims listing, to address the issue raised, and claim 22 is now believed to comply with the second paragraph of Section 112.

Claims 33 and 34 were rejected under 35 USC § 101, as directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants have amended claims 33 and 34 as set forth in the claims listing, wherein claims 33 and 34 are believed to be patentable under Section 101.

Applicants thank Examiner Do for the effort expended in order to examine this case, pointing out the necessary action, which applicants have undertaken to place all claims in allowable form, in view of proper US practice. Applicants believe, therefore, that the application is in condition for allowance and passage to issue as a US Patent.

If there are any residual issues that can be resolved with a telephone call, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

914 333 9627

Respectfully Submitted,  
PHILIPS

By: \_\_\_\_\_

John F. Vodopia  
Reg. No. 36,299